Article

Regioselective Hydrosilylations of Propiolate Esters with Tris(trimethylsilyl)silane

Yang Liu, Shoko Yamazaki,* and Shinichi Yamabe

Department of Chemistry, Nara University of Education, CREST/JST, Takabatake-cho, Nara 630-8528, Japan

yamazaks@nara-edu.ac.jp

Received September 14, 2004



Lewis acid and substituent dependency on the regioselectivity of hydrosilylation of propiolate esters $1\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ with tris(trimethylsilyl)silane ($2\mathbf{a}$) was found. The reaction of methyl and ethyl propiolate esters and $2\mathbf{a}$ without Lewis acid and in the presence of EtAlCl₂ and Et₂AlCl gave β -silicon-substituted Z-alkenes 3 selectively. On the other hand, reaction in the presence of AlCl₃ in dichloromethane gave α -silicon-substituted alkenes 4. In the case of trifluoroethyl propiolate ester 1c, reaction with aluminum chloride-based Lewis acids gave α -silicon-substituted alkenes 4 exclusively. Two competitive mechanisms, free-radical and ionic, are proposed as the source of the complementary regioselectivity displayed in these reactions. A transition state of the radical-forming step was obtained computationally. The reaction of various reactive acetylene substrates and $2\mathbf{a}$ without Lewis acid and without solvent at room temperature gave β -silicon-substituted Z-alkenes 3 selectively.

Introduction

Hydrosilylation of alkynes and alkenes is one of the most effective C-Si bond formation reactions.¹ Lewis acid-promoted hydrosilylation of unactivated alkynes and alkenes has been recently studied;² however, Lewis acidpromoted hydrosilylation of activated or carbonyl groupfunctionalized alkynes and alkenes has received little attention. We are interested in the synthesis of functionalized organosilicon compounds via an efficient and regioselective hydrosilylation reaction. As a hydrosilane reagent, tris(trimethylsilyl)silane (2a) was examined. The hydrosilylation of alkynes and alkenes with 2a without Lewis acid was previously studied by Chatgilialoglu et al.3 This silane has three Si-Si bonds and reaction conditions to retain Si-Si bonds are of mechanistic interest, particularly for the development of model reactions toward the modification of silicon surfaces.⁴ The

transformation of tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl groups to bromine or OH groups has also been demonstrated.^{3a} In addition, the hydrosilylated products, vinyl tris(trimethylsilyl)silanes, are potential substrates for useful crosscoupling reactions,⁵ and a cross-coupling reaction of vinyl tris(trimethylsilyl)silanes has been demonstrated recently.⁶ In this study, we have found Lewis acid dependency and substituent dependency in the regioselective hydrosilylation of propiolate esters.

Results and Discussion

1. Reactions without Lewis Acid and Solvent. Reactions of the terminal alkyne substrates **1** shown in

(6) Wnuk, S. F.; Garcia, P. I., Jr.; Wang, Z. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2047.

10.1021/jo048371b CCC: \$30.25 © 2005 American Chemical Society Published on Web 12/17/2004

 ^{(1) (}a) Ojima, I.; Li, Z.; Zhu, J. In *The Chemistry of Organosilicon Compounds*; Rappoport, S., Apeloig, Y., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1998; Vol. 2, p 1687. (b) Hiyama, T.; Kusumoto, T. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK, 1991; Vol. 8, p 763.
 (2) (a) Asao, N.; Sudo, T.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, Super Action 1997.

^{(2) (}a) Asao, N.; Sudo, T.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 7654.
(b) Sudo, T.; Asao, N.; Gevorgyan, V.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2494.
(c) Sudo, T.; Asao, N.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 8919.
(d) Song, Y.-S.; Yoo, B. R.; Lee, G.-H.; Jung, I. N. Organometallics 1999, 18, 3109.

^{(3) (}a) Kopping, B.; Chatgilialoglu, C.; Zehnder, M.; Giese, B. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 3994. (b) Chatgilialoglu, C. Acc. Chem Res. 1992, 25, 188. (c) Chatgilialoglu, C.; Ferreri, C.; Gimisis, T. Tris(trimethylsilyl)silane in Organic Synthesis. In The Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds; Rappoport, S., Apeloig, Y., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1998; Vol. 2, p 1539. (d) Chatgilialoglu, C. Organosilanes in Radical Chemistry; Wiley: Chichester, UK, 2004.

 ^{(4) (}a) Linford, M. R.; Chidsey, C. E. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 12631. (b) Linford, M. R.; Fenter, P.; Eisenberger, P. M.; Chidsey, C. E. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3145. (c) Buriak, J. M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1271.

^{(5) (}a) Hatanaka, Y.; Hiyama, T. Synlett **1991**, 845. (b) Hiyama, T.; Hatanaka, Y. Organosilicon Compounds in Cross-Coupling Reactions. In *Metal-catalyzed Cross-coupling Reactions*; Diederich, F., Stang, P. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1998. (c) Denmark, S. E.; Ober, M. H. *Aldrichim. Acta* **2003**, *36*, 75.

TABLE 1. Hydrosilylation of Alkynes 1 with 2a in theAbsence of Lewis Acid and Solvent in Eq 1^a

Entry		Substrate		Product (Y	/ield/%)		
1	1a	H———CO ₂ Me	3a (89)	(Me ₃ Si) ₃ Si	= <h CO₂Me</h 		
2	1b	H- CO ₂ Et	3b (92)	H (Me ₃ Si) ₃ Si	=≺ ^H CO₂Et		
3	1c	HCO ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃	3c (91)	(Me ₃ Si) ₃ Si			
4	1d	H— — —COMe	3d (83)	(Me ₃ Si) ₃ Si	=≺ ^H COMe		
5	1e	н−━−со₂н	3e (90)		=< ^H		
^{<i>a</i>} Reactions were carried out with $1.0-2.0$ mmol of 1 and 1.05 equiv of 2a at room temperature overnight.							

. . .

Table 1 without Lewis acid were first investigated (eq 1). A mixture of **1a**-**c** and **2a** without solvent was stirred

at room temperature overnight to give β -silicon-substituted Z-alkenes **3a**-**c** exclusively (anti-Markovnikov). In CH₂Cl₂, the reaction of **1a** and **2a** without Lewis acid at room temperature overnight also afforded β -siliconsubstituted Z-alkenes **3a** exclusively. Substrates with ketone and free carboxyl groups (**1d**-**e**) also reacted smoothly to give Z-alkenes **3d**-**e** stereoselectively in high yields. Reactions of 1-hexyne or methyl 2-butynoate with **2a** under neat conditions did not proceed. The reaction of **1b** and **2a** in toluene induced by AIBN (90 °C) or BEt₃/ O₂ to give **3b** was reported previously;^{3a} however, the reaction of substrates **1b** and **2a** does not require an explicit radical initiator or a high reaction temperature, similar to the autoxidation of **2a** described by Chatgilialoglu et al.⁷

These reactions to give **3** were considered to proceed by a radical mechanism, probably initiated by adventitious in situ oxygen as well as the initiating step of the autoxidation of **2a**, although *all reactions described in Table 1 were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere*. To exclude oxygen and explore the effect of oxygen, nitrogen bubbling, freeze-thaw, and air bubbling were attempted. The reactions of **1b** and **2a** for 2 h under nitrogen bubbling, degassed by freeze-thaw, and under air bubbling show 82%, 72%, and 99% conversions (checked by ¹H NMR), respectively. All the reaction conditions overnight gave **3b** in 99% conversion. Therefore, nitrogen bubbling and freeze-thaw have only a small effect to remove oxygen. **Caution**: A neat reaction of **1b** and **2a** under an oxygen atmosphere caused an explosion. The reaction of 1b and 2a in the dark overnight gave 3a in 99% conversion.

The reaction of **1b** and **2a** was retarded by addition of a radical inhibitor, 0.1 equiv of 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-meth-ylphenol (20 h, **3b** 30% and 44 h, **3b** 34%).

2. Reactions with Lewis Acids in Dichloromethane. Reactions of propiolate esters 1a-c and 2a in the presence of aluminum chloride-based Lewis acids were next examined (eq 2). Aluminum chloride-based



Lewis acids were utilized effectively in hydrosilylation with $Et_3SiH (2b)^2$ and transition metals are known to break Si-Si bonds.8 The reaction of propiolate esters 1a-c and 2a in the presence of 1.2 equiv of AlCl₃ in dichloromethane at 0 °C for 4 h gave α-silicon-substituted alkenes 4 exclusively (Table 2, entries 1, 4, and 7).⁹ On the other hand, the reaction of methyl and ethyl propiolate esters **1a**,**b** and **2a** in the presence of EtAlCl₂ gave β -silicon-substituted Z-alkenes 3 mainly, along with α -silicon-substituted alkenes 4 as minor products (Table 2, entries 2,5). In the reaction of 1a,b and 2a in the presence of Et₂AlCl, β -silicon-substituted Z-alkenes 3 were the sole products (Table 2, entries 3 and 6). Exceptionally, reactions of trifluoroethyl propiolate ester **1c** in the presence of these three Lewis acids afforded α -silicon-substituted alkene 4 exclusively (Table 2, entries 7-9).

Some other features of the regioselectivity are as follows. Reaction of **1a** and **2a** in the presence of 0.2 equiv of AlCl₃ gave β -silicon-substituted Z-alkene **3a** exclusively in 65% yield.¹⁰ When zinc chloride was used as the Lewis acid in the reaction of **1a** and **1b**, only β -silicon-substituted Z-alkenes **3** were obtained (**3a** 65%, **3b** 71%, respectively). Use of GaCl₃, TiCl₄, and SnCl₄ afforded complex mixtures, probably because of decomposition of Si–Si bonds. The reaction of an internal alkyne, methyl 2-butynoate, with **2a** in the presence of AlCl₃ or EtAlCl₂ did not proceed.

Hydrosilylation reactions of propiolate esters **1b** and **1c** with tris(trimethylsilyl)silane (**2a**) and triethylsilane (**2b**) were next compared. The reaction of **1b** and triethylsilane (**2b**) in the presence of AlCl₃ and EtAlCl₂ in CH₂-Cl₂ at 0 °C for 4 h gave α -silicon adduct **5b** in 92% and 25% yields, respectively (eq 3).¹¹ The reaction of **1b** and **2b** in the presence of Et₂AlCl did not proceed. The

^{(7) (}a) Chatgilialoglu, C.; Guarini, A.; Seconi, G. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2208. (b) Chatgilialoglu, C. Radicals in Organic Synthesis; Renaud, P., Sibi, M. P., Eds; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; Vol. 1, p 28. (c) Chatgilialoglu C.; Guerrini, A.; Lucarini, M.; Pedulli, G. F.; Carrozza, P.; Roit, G. D.; Borzatta, V.; Lucchini, V. Organometallics 1998, 17, 2169. (d) Zaborovskiy, A. B.; Lutsyk, D. S.; Prystansky, R. E.; Kopylets, V. I.; Timokhin, V. I.; Chatgilialoglu C. J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 2912.

^{(8) (}a) Suginome, M.; Ito, Y. In Activation of Unreactive Bonds and Organic Synthesis; Murai, S., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1999; p 131. (b) Buriak, J. M.; Allen, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, *120*, 1339.

⁽⁹⁾ α -Silicon adducts **4a**-**c** are somewhat unstable compounds. On standing at room temperature or in a refrigerator, **4a**-**c** gradually change to complex mixtures, as shown by ¹H NMR.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Reaction of 1b and 2a in the presence of 1.2 equiv of $AlCl_3$ without solvent gave 3a exclusively in 65% yield. The same reaction in hexane also gave 3a in 66% yield. Without solvent and in hexane, solubility of $AlCl_3$ may be low. The reaction in toluene gave 3a and 4a in 29% and 8% yields, respectively, accompanied by an unidentified mixture. The reaction of 1b and 2a in the presence of $AlCl_3$ in CH_2Cl_2 under an oxygen atmosphere gave 4a in only 10% yield, with an unidentified mixture.

q 2ª
(

Entry		Substrate	Lewis		3 (Yield/%)		4 (Yield/%)
			acid				
1	1a	H- CO ₂ Me	AlCl ₃	(0)		4a (72)	H H CO ₂ Me
2	1a	HCO ₂ Me	EtAlCl ₂	3a (51)	H (Me ₃ Si) ₃ Si CO ₂ Me	4a (15)	H H CO ₂ Me
3	1a	HCO ₂ Me	Et ₂ AlCl	3a (49) ^b	H (Me ₃ Si) ₃ Si CO ₂ Me	(0) ^b	
4	1b	H- CO ₂ Et	AlCl ₃	(0)		4b (62)	$\underset{H}{\overset{\text{Si}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3}{\underset{\text{CO}_2\text{Et}}{\overset{\text{Si}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3}{\overset{\text{Si}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3}{\overset{\text{Si}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3}{\overset{\text{Si}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3}{\overset{\text{Si}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3}{\overset{\text{Si}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3}{\overset{\text{Si}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3}{\overset{\text{Si}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3}}}}$
5	1b	H- CO ₂ Et	EtAlCl ₂	3b (69)	H (Me ₃ Si) ₃ Si CO ₂ Et	4b (12)	H H CO ₂ Et
6	1b	H- CO ₂ Et	Et ₂ AlCl	3b (51) ^b	(Me ₃ Si) ₃ Si CO ₂ Et	(0) ^b	
7	1c	HCO ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃	AlCl ₃	(0)		4c (55)	H H CO ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃
8	1c	HCO ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃	EtAlCl ₂	(0)		4c (64)	H H CO ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃
9	1c	HCO ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃	Et ₂ AlCl	(0) ^b		4c (37) ^b	H H CO ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃

^{*a*} Reactions were carried out with 1 (2.0 mmol), **2a** (2.1 mmol), and Lewis acid (2.4 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (2 mL) at 0 °C for 4 h. ^{*b*} **2a** was incompletely consumed. Starting materials **1a**-**c** were not detected.

reaction of **1c** and **2b** in the presence of AlCl₃, EtAlCl₂, and Et₂AlCl gave α -silicon adducts **5c** in 40%, 75%, and 63% yields, respectively. In these reactions, formation of β -silicon adducts was not identified. Without Lewis acid in CH₂Cl₂, and using neat conditions, the reaction of **1b**,**c** and **2b** did not proceed.



3. Reaction Mechanism. The radical mechanism involving **2a** was studied by Chatgilialoglu in detail.^{3,7} The reaction pathway to give **3** in eqs 1 and 2 is considered to be a radical process. The radical mechanism is supported by the fact that the reaction of **1b** and **2a** was retarded by addition of a radical inhibitor, 2,6-di*tert*-butyl-4-methylphenol. The radical initiator may be molecular oxygen, as we could not exclude oxygen completely. A key question is concerned with the radical

initiating step. Chatgilialoglu suggested that the initiation step for the autoxygenation reaction without radical initiator is the following hydrogen abstraction reaction.^{7d}

$$(Me_3Si)_3SiH + O_2 \rightarrow (Me_3Si)_3Si^{\bullet} + HOO^{\bullet}$$

Adventitious in situ oxygen was considered to initiate the radical process in view of relatively weak Si-H bond energy (=84 kcal/mol) for **2a**.¹² To obtain theoretical support, we have examined this process by UB3LYP/6-31G* calculations^{13,14} (Scheme 1). The weakly bound complex of **2a** and a triplet oxygen molecule was calculated as the structure **X** ($\Delta E = -0.84$ kcal/mol and ΔG_{298} = +6.5 kcal/mol). The hydrogen abstraction by molecular oxygen transition state **Y** was obtained ($\Delta E = +30.1$ kcal/ mol and $\Delta G_{298} = +37.884$ kcal/mol) (Figure 1). The reaction coordinate vectors show the hydrogen transfer clearly. Then, the resulting intermediate is the structure **Z** ($\Delta E = +28.2$ kcal/mol and $\Delta G_{298} = +37.880$ kcal/mol). Geometries of **X** and **Z** are shown in Figures S1 and S2,

⁽¹¹⁾ The reaction of **1a** and **2b** with iridium catalyst undergoes cyclotrimerization instead of hydrosilylation. Tanke, R. S.; Crabtree, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, *112*, 7984.

^{(12) (}a) Chatgilialoglu, C.; Newcomb, M. Adv. Organomet. Chem. **1999**, 44, 67. (b) Chatgilialoglu, C. Chem. Rev. **1995**, 95, 1229.

^{(13) (}a) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. **1993**, 98, 5648. (b) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B **1998**, 37, 785.



^{*a*} ΔG in this scheme is at 273.15 K (25 °C). Geometries of **X** and **Z** are in the Supporting Information. That of **Y** is in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. UB3LYP/6-31G*-optimized TS structure of **Y** in Scheme 1 and reaction-coordinate vectors corresponding to the sole imaginary frequency ν^{\ddagger} . ΔG is relative to (MeSi₃)Si-H and triplet O₂ (T = 298.15 K).

respectively (Supporting Information). The generated (Me₃Si)₃Si• can induce a chain reaction (Scheme 2) to produce the results in Table 1. The activation free energy ΔG^{\ddagger} at 343 K (70 °C) was also calculated to compare with the experimental data obtained by Chatgilialoglu et al.^{7d} $\Delta G^{\ddagger_{343}}$ in this work is 31.8 kcal/mol (relative to the initially formed complex **X**),¹⁵ which is in good agreement with 29.4 kcal/mol calculated from the kinetic constant of 3.5×10^{-5} M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 70 °C.^{7d,16} Thus, the radical initiation step that (Me₃Si)₃Si-H (**2a**) and O₂ generate the radical (Me₃Si)₃Si• through hydrogen abstraction was clarified by the present theoretical study.

SCHEME 2. Radical Chain Mechanism^a



^{*a*} The \cdot Si(SiMe₃)₃ radical is consumed by addition to the triple bond and is reproduced to yield the Z olefin. 3.120 Å in **3** was obtained for **3a** (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information).

Lewis acid noncoordinated species 1 would proceed with a free-radical mechanism to give β -adducts **3** as shown in Scheme 2. The regiochemistry of addition is explained by the stabilization of the generated radical center by the ester-carbonyl group. The radical intermediate \mathbf{A} (R = Me, in Scheme 2) was obtained by UB3LYP/ 6-31G* optimization and is shown in Figure S3 (Supporting Information). The free energy difference ΔG_{298} of A (R = Me) compared to 1a (R = Me) and tris-(trimethylsilyl)silyl radical (*Si(SiMe₃)₃) is -6.1 kcal/mol. The radical adduct A may be stabilized by the CO_2R group through spin delocalization. The radical intermediate from 1-hexyne has no delocalization, therefore the reaction did not proceed in this mild condition. No reactivity toward methyl 2-butynoate can be understood by the steric consideration that the approach of 'Si- $(SiMe_3)_3$ is disturbed by the methyl group.

The obtained Z-stereochemistry for the products **3** may arise from preferred hydrogen abstraction from the anti side of the silyl group by the steric effect of the bulky tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl group as suggested previously (see **A** in Figure S3).^{3a} In addition, the Z-product **3a** (R = Me) is 8.9 kcal/mol more stable than the corresponding *E*-isomer. The stability probably arises form (Me₃Si)₃Si---O=COMe through-space interaction, which is suggested by the calculated short Si-O distance (3.120 Å) and the accumulated bonding charge distributions between Si and O. The high reactivity of the tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl radical allows propiolate **1** to react as a radical acceptor. The ester and the other substituents in **1** work to stabilize the transient radical intermediate and to control the Z stereochemistry.

⁽¹⁴⁾ MO calculations with Gaussian 98 were made at the Information Processing Center (Nara University of Education). Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Stratmann, R. E.; Burant, J. C.; Dapprich, S.; Millam, J. M.; Daniels, A. D.; Kudin, K. N.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Cossi, M.; Cammi, R.; Mennucci, B.; Pomelli, C.; Adamo, C.; Clifford, S.; Ochterski, J.; Petersson, G. A.; Ayala, P. Y.; Cui, Q.; Morokuma, K.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Ortiz, J. V.; Baboul, A. G.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Gonzalez, C.; Chal lacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres, J. L.; Gonzalez, C.; Head-Gordon, M.; Replogle, E. S.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 98*, Revision A.7; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1998.

⁽¹⁵⁾ $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{343}$ relative to reactants **2a** and oxygen is 39.2 kcal/mol.

⁽¹⁶⁾ From the Eyring equation, k (L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹) = (**k***T*/*h*)(*RT*/*P*)e^{- $\Delta G^{4/RT}$, where **k** = Boltzmann's constant = 1.381 × 10⁻²³ J K⁻¹, *h* = Planck's constant = 6.626 × 10⁻³⁴ J s, *T* = 343 K, *P* = 1 atm, *R* = gas constant = 0.082 atm L mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ = 1.987 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹, and ΔG^{2}_{343} (29.4 kcal/mol) was obtained by using the kinetic constant ($k = 3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹).^{7d} See, for example: Sana, M.; Leroy, G.; Villaveces, J. L. Theor. Chim. Acta (Berlin) **1984**, 65, 109.}





On the other hand, α -adducts **4** seem to be produced via an ionic mechanism as shown in Scheme 3. The negatively charged hydrogen of **2a** attacks the β -carbon of propiolate, where the ester-carbonyl group is complexed with AlCl₃ and the alkyne is highly electrophilic.¹⁷ The nucleophilic attack would give an ion pair intermediate **B**, which leads to α -adducts **4**. The regioselectivity is controlled by the LUMO of the **1a**-AlCl₃ complex where orbital extension at C β is larger than that of C α as described later. The ion pair intermediate **B** (R = Me) was obtained by B3LYP/6-31G* optimization with the SCRF solvent effect¹⁸ (CH₂Cl₂, dielectronic constant 8.93) (Figure S5). At the optimization of **B**, **1a**-AlCl₃ complex and tris(trimethylsilyl)silane (**2a**) were set to the C β --H-Si distance of 1.18 Å as an initial structure.¹⁹

In the LUMO of 1b and the Al-coordinated complexes, the coefficient at β -carbon (-0.49 to -0.55) is larger than that of α -carbon (0.21 to 0.34) by RHF/STO-3G SCRF// B3LYP/6-31G* SCRF. The regiochemistry dependency on aluminum Lewis acids can be explained as follows. When the LUMO levels are lower $(\mathbf{1b} - \text{AlCl}_3 0.134 \text{ au})$ and the alkyne is very electrophilic, ionic addition occurs leading to the α -silicon adduct 4. When the LUMO levels are higher (1b-EtAlCl₂ 0.149 au, 1b-Et₂AlCl 0.163 au, and 1b 0.242 au), more reactive tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl radical reacts instead, leading to β -silicon adducts **3**. Because the above-mentioned ΔG_{298} (-6.1 kcal/mol) of the radical intermediate A in Scheme 2 toward 1a and $Si(SiMe_3)_3$ is relatively small, A is a transient species. Therefore, the ionic mechanism can take place competitively.²⁰ Thus, the strong Lewis acid AlCl₃ facilitates the ionic mechanism. The reactions of the weaker Lewis acid (EtAlCl₂ and Et₂AlCl)-coordinated propiolate complex proceed

(17) The structures of two possible $1\mathbf{a}$ -AlCl₃ complexes **X** and **Y** were calculated (B3LYP/6-31G*). The π -complex **X** suggested for unactivated alkynes by Yamamoto² is unstable ($\Delta G = +5.3$ kcal/mol) relative to reactants $1\mathbf{a}$ and AlCl₃ and the σ -complex **Y** is stable ($\Delta G = -17.7$ kcal/mol).



(19) In reality, $+Si(SiMe_3)_3$ in **B** may be surrounded and stabilized by the solvent CH_2Cl_2 .

(20) For the hydride donor ability of **2a**, see: Mayr, H.; Basso, N.; Hagen, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, 114, 3060. with the free-radical mechanism. The exclusive β -adduct formation in the case of the use of a catalytic amount of AlCl₃ can be explained by a higher concentration of **1a** than that of **1a**-AlCl₃ complex under equilibrium, and the noncoordinated species **1a** undergoes the radical addition process.²¹ The reaction of **1b,c** and triethylsilane (**2b**) only gave the α -silicon adducts **5b,c** (eq 3). Apparently, the reaction of hydrosilane **2b** (Si-H bond energy for **2b**, 95 kcal/mol)¹² only undergoes the ionic mechanism.²²

In entries 7–9 of Table 2, the CH_2CF_3 esters have afforded only α -adducts regardless of the strength of Al Lewis acids. The α -adduct preference can be explained by the low LUMO energy levels of Al complexes arising from the inductive effect of the CF₃ group.²³

In summary, we have found Lewis acid and substituent dependency on regioselectivity in the hydrosilylation of propiolate esters. The regioselectivity was explained by two competitive mechanisms, free radical and ionic. The radical-initiating step was explicitly demonstrated. The present hydrosilylation is highly selective and clean, and only requires simple operation. The mild neat conditions with reactive alkynes to form a C–Si bond also may be suitable to modification of silicon surfaces. Further utility of this regioselective reaction is under investigation.

Experimental Section

Substrates **2a,b**, **1a,b**, and **1d,e** were purchased. **1c** was prepared by the reaction of propiolic acid and 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol with BF_3 -etherate according to the literature method.²⁴

2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl propiolate (1c): colorless oil; bp 46–47 °C/65 mmHg; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.05 (s, 3H), 4.56 (q, 2H, $J_{\rm F-H}$ = 8.1 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 61.4 (q, $-CH_2CF_3$, $J_{\rm F-C}$ = 37.4 Hz), 73.1 (HC=C-), 77.6 (HC=C-), 122.4 (q, $-CH_2CF_3$, $J_{\rm F-C}$ = 277.0 Hz), 150.9 (-C(O)O-); ¹⁹F NMR (376.3 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -74.2 (t, $J_{\rm F-H}$ = 8.3 Hz).

Typical Hydrosilylation Procedure of Neat Condition (**Table 1, entry 1**). A mixture of tris(trimethylsilyl)silane (**2a**) (520 mg, 2.1 mmol) and methyl propiolate (**1a**) (168 mg, 2.0 mmol) was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography over silica gel eluting with hexanes-ethyl acetate (20:1) to give **3a** (295 mg, 89%).

Typical Hydrosilylation Procedure (Table 2, entry 1). To a mixture of AlCl₃ (320 mg, 2.4 mmol) and dichloromethane (2.0 mL) was added tris(trimethylsilyl)silane (**2a**) (520 mg, 2.1 mmol) at 0 °C. After being stirred for 5 min, methyl propiolate (**1a**) (168 mg, 2.0 mmol) was added to the mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 4 h. After the addition of water, the mixture was extracted with ether and the organic phase was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated in vacuo. The residue

⁽²¹⁾ The background reaction of **1a,c** (at 0 °C 4 h without Lewis acid in CD₂Cl₂, checked by ¹H NMR) proceeded in 37% (for **1a**) and 47% (for **1c**) conversion to give **3a** and **3c**, respectively. Therefore, the radical and ionic processes compete under these reaction conditions. Some inconsistency in isolated yields may arise from the possibilities that the reaction completed after treatment with water or the β -addition reaction is accelerated by weak Lewis acids or catalytic Lewis acid.

⁽²²⁾ We attempted to seek the potential surface of the hydrogen shift, $Et_3Si-H+O_2 \rightarrow Et_3Si^{\bullet} + HOO^{\bullet}$, which is similar to that of (Me₃-Si)₃SiH + $O_2 \rightarrow (Me_3Si)_3Si^{\bullet} + HOO^{\bullet}$. However, the former path could not be found despite many attempts. The radical species Et_3Si^{\bullet} would not be generated by the O_2 attack.

⁽²³⁾ Hammet constants of the CF₃ goup are $\sigma_m = 0.46$ and $\sigma_p = 0.53$. Exner, O. In Correlation Analysis in Organic Chemistry; Chapman, N. B., Shorter, J., Eds.; Plenum Press: New York, 1978; Chapter 10. (24) Jung, M. E.; Buszek, K. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1988**, 110, 3965.

was purified by column chromatography over silica gel eluting with hexanes-ethyl acetate (20:1) to give 4a (487 mg, 72%).

Methyl 2-[tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl]propenoate (4a): R_f 0.4 (hexanes-EtOAc = 20:1); colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.19 (s, 27H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 5.99 (d, 1H, J = 2.6 Hz), 6.66 (d, 1H, J = 2.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.1 (-Si(CH₃)₃), 51.7 (-OCH₃), 138.9 (H₂C=C), 140.0 (H₂C=C), 171.0 (-C(O)O-); IR (neat) 2952, 2896, 1721, 1435, 1246, 837 cm⁻¹; MS (EI) *m/z* 317 (M⁺ - CH₃), 259 (M⁺ - Si(CH₃)₃), 73 (Si⁺(CH₃)₃).

Ethyl 2-(tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl)propenoate (4b): R_f 0.5 (hexanes–EtOAc = 20:1); colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.20 (s, 27H), 1.30 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 4.19 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 5.98 (d, 1H, J = 2.6 Hz), 6.60 (d, 1H, J = 2.6 Hz). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.2 (-SiCH₃), 14.5 (-OCH₂CH₃), 60.8 (-OCH₂CH₃), 138.2 (H₂C=C), 140.8 (H₂C=C), 170.7 (-C(O)O–); IR (neat) 2957, 2896, 1705, 1401, 1253, 839 cm⁻¹; MS (EI) m/z 331 (M⁺ – CH₃), 301 (M⁺ – OC₂H₅), 273 (M⁺ – Si(CH₃)₃), 73 (Si⁺(CH₃)₃).

2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl 2-[tris(trimethylsily])silyl]propenoate (**4c**): R_f 0.5 (hexanes-EtOAc = 40:1); colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.20 (s, 27H), 4.51 (q, 2H, $J_{F-H} = 8.6$ Hz), 6.14 (d, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz), 6.70 (d, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.1 (-SiCH₃), 60.5 (q, $J_{C-F} = 36.6$ Hz, $-OCH_2CF_3$), 123.2 (q, $J_{C-F} = 277.0$ Hz, $-CF_3$), 139.2 (H₂C=C), 140.2 (H₂C=C), 168.5 (-C(OO-); ¹⁹F NMR (376.3 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -74.0 (t, $J_{F-H} = 8.6$ Hz); IR (neat) 2956, 2897, 1728, 1411, 1286, 1248, 838 cm⁻¹; MS (EI) m/z 385 (M⁺ - CH₃), 327 (M⁺ - Si(CH₃)₃), 301 (M⁺ - OCH₂CF₃), 228 (M⁺ - Si(CH₃)₃).

Ethyl 2-(triethylsilyl)propenoate (5b): $R_f 0.4$ (hexanes-EtOAc = 20:1); colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.70 (q, 6H, J = 7.9 Hz), 0.92 (t, 9H, J = 7.9 Hz), 1.30 (t, 3H, J =7.1 Hz), 4.20 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 5.97 (d, 1H, J = 2.9 Hz), 6.83 (d, 1H, J = 2.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.1 $(-{\rm Si}({\rm CH_2CH_3})_3),$ 7.4 $(-{\rm Si}({\rm CH_2CH_3})_3),$ 14.3 $(-{\rm OCH_2CH_3}),$ 60.5 $(-{\rm OCH_2CH_3}),$ 140.3 $({\rm H_2C=C}),$ 141.6 $({\rm H_2C=C}),$ 169.8 $(-{\rm C}({\rm O}){\rm O}-);$ IR (neat) 2955, 2911, 2876, 1720, 1464, 1394, 1274, 1222, 1147, 1120, 1007, 974 cm^{-1}; MS (EI) m/z 199 $({\rm M^+-CH_3}),$ 185 $({\rm M^+-C_2H_5}),$ 169 $({\rm M^+-OC_2H_5}),$ 141 $({\rm M^+-COOC_2H_5}).$ Anal. Calcd for ${\rm C_{11}H_{22}O_2Si:}$ C, 61.63; H, 10.34. Found: C, 61.35; H, 10.35.

2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl 2-(triethylsilyl)propenoate (5c): R_f 0.65 (hexanes-ether = 10:1); colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.71 (q, 6H, J = 7.9 Hz), 0.93 (t, 9H, J = 7.9 Hz), 4.52 (q, 2H, J = 8.6 Hz), 6.12 (d, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz), 6.95 (d, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.9 (-SiCH₂CH₃), 7.2 (-SiCH₂CH₃), 60.5 (q, -CH₂CF₃, J_{C-F} = 35.9 Hz), 123.2 (q, -CH₂CF₃, J_{C-F} = 277.0 Hz), 139.9 (-SiCH=CH-C(O)-), 142.9 (-SiCH=CH-C(O)-), 167.9 (-COO-); ¹⁹F NMR (376.3 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -74.0 (t, J_{F-H} = 9.1 Hz); IR (neat) 2958, 2914, 2829, 1733, 1243, 1409, 1285, 1254, 1212, 1170, 1133, 978, 736 cm⁻¹; MS (EI) m/z 239 (M⁺ - CH₂CH₃), 115 (Si⁺(CH₂CH₃)₃). Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₉F₃O₂Si: C, 49.21; H, 7.14. Found: C, 49.23; H, 7.14.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Prof. S. Umetani (Kyoto University) and Prof. K. Kakiuchi (Nara Institute of Science and Technology) for measurement of mass spectra and elemental analyses. We thank Ms Y. Iwata for experimental assistance.

Supporting Information Available: General experimental methods and additional spectral data, B3LYP/6-31G*optimized geometries and Cartesian coordinates and total energy of **X**, **Y**, **Z**, **A**, **3a**, **B**, **1b**, and Al-complexes of **1b**, and copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO048371B